Selective Oxidative Dehydrogenation of Isobutane over a Y₂O₃-CeF₃ Catalyst

Wei-De Zhang,* Ding-Liang Tang, Xiao-Ping Zhou, Hui-Lin Wan and K. R. Tsai

Department of Chemistry, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, P. R. China

The multi-valence anion modified complex catalyst Y_2O_3 — CeF_3 was found to be selective for the oxidative dehydrogenation of isobutane to isobutene at a relatively high conversion.

The production of gasoline octane enhancers (*i.e.* methylene tert-butyl ether, or MTBE) has lead to new commercial developments in isobutene production. The relatively abundant liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) contains mainly propane and butane. Catalytic oxidative dehydrogenation of isobutane is a promising route to isobutene¹⁻⁴. In this process, catalytic selectivity is the most important factor since with an alkane and oxygen mixture, the thermodynamically favoured products are CO₂ and H₂O. Thus for this process catalysts must be designed which inhibit the oxidation effectively. We designed the CeF₃ promoted yttrium oxide catalyst which is active in isobutane oxidative dehydrogenation. It has a relatively high selectivity at high isobutane conversion.

The catalyst was prepared from CeF_3 and Y_2O_3 which were mixed in equal mole ratios, crushed to a powder and then stirred with deionized water to form a paste. The paste was dried at $100\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 2 h and calcined at $850\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 4 h. The catalytic activity and selectivity for the oxidative dehydrogenation were determined using a conventional flow system (fixed bed microreactor, 6 mm internal diameter) with a reaction temperature of $460-540\,^{\circ}\text{C}$; pressure of 1 atm; space velocity of $6000\,\text{h}^{-1}$; the feed was isobutane: $O_2 = 1:1$ or isobutane: $O_2: N_2 = 2:3:5$. The products were analysed by on-line gas chromatography.

The catalyst was particularly active for the selective production of isobutene from the oxidation of isobutane with molecular oxygen. Isobutene selectivity was much higher than with pure or complex oxides (over a Y_2O_3 catalyst, the isobutene selectivity was only 37.1% at 34.5% isobutane conversion at 500 °C and space velocity of 6000 h⁻¹ without N_2




Fig. 1 Isobutane conversion and isobutene selectivity on Y_2O_3 -CeF₃ catalyst. Lines 1 and 3 represent no N_2 feed, lines 2 and 4 with a N_2 feed.

feed). Fig. 1 shows the results of catalytic oxidative dehydrogenation of isobutane over the prepared catalyst. The conversion increased steadily with increasing temperature at above $460\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ while the selectivity dropped. The byproduct was predominantly propene, but CO and CO₂ were also formed, especially when the partial pressure of oxygen was increased. The isobutane conversion increased markedly with a slight decrease in isobutene selectivity when the oxygen partial pressure increased. This reaction can be run with pure (undiluted) gases. At $500\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ without N₂, the isobutane conversion reached 15.4%, the selectivity 71.3% and the isobutene obtained 10.9%.

These catalysts were stable under the reaction conditions and under an 84 h test the activity and selectivity remained almost constant. This implied that the composition of the catalyst did not change and F⁻ was not lost.

XRD results indicated that the catalyst was composed of orthorhombic YF₃, hexagonal YOF, cubic CeO₂ and (or) cubic CeOF. The XRD lines of CeO₂ and CeOF are almost the same, so it is difficult to separate them. This result clearly showed that in the preparation of the catalyst, O²⁻ and F-exchanged places in the solid lattices. This would cause anion defects, similar to complex oxides with different valence cations.^{6,7} The formation of the anion defects favours the activation and transfer of O₂ to active oxygen species, thus favouring the oxidative dehydrogenation of isobutane to isobutene.

Support of this work by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and Fujian Province Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged.

Received, 11th November 1993; Com 3/06770J

References

- 1 A. T. Bell, M. Boudart and B. D. Ensley, Catalysis Looks to the Future, National Academy Press, Washington DC, 1992, p. 21.
- 2 J. F. Roth, Abstracts of First Tokyo Conference on Advanced Science and Technology, 1990, Tokyo, p. 1.
- 3 A. Guerrero-Ruiz, I. Rodriguez-Ramas and J. L. G. Fierro, in *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis*, ed. P. Ruiz and B. Delmon, Elsevier, vol. 72, 1992, p. 203.
- 4 R. H. H. Smits, K. Seshan and J. R. H. Ross, in Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, ed. P. Ruiz and B. Delmon, Elsevier, vol. 72, 1992, p. 221.
- 5 G. Bellussi, G. Centi, S. Perathoner and F. Trifiro, Preprints, Symposium on Catalytic Selective Oxidation, ACS, 1992, 37(4), 1242.
- 6 Y. Wu, T. Yu and B. Dou, J. Catal., 1989, 120, 88.
- 7 P. L. Gai-boyes, Catal. Rev.-Sci. Eng., 1992, 34, 1.